

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/500,483	06/29/2004	Ulrich Bohne	3012	4388
75	590 02/21/2006		EXAM	INER
Striker Striker & Stenby			HAMILTON, ISAAC N .	
103 East Neck Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
		DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			$\langle \gamma \rangle$			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/500,483	BOHNE ET AL.			
Off	ice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Isaac N. Hamilton	3724			
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication app I	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHEVEF - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for - Failure to reply Any reply receiv	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAR IS DATHS from the mailing date of this communication. The reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, red by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2004</u> .				
2a) This ac	ction is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since t	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ment					
closed	in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	Claims					
4) Claim(s	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of t	the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s	s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s	s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Pap	ers					
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)□ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the B	Examiner.			
Applica	nt may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oat	th or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119					
	rledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
•	b) Some * c) None of:					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		Al-			
	Certified copies of the priority documents					
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eu in this ivational Stage			
	application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ad			
See me	attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Species in figures 1, 1a and 1b.
- II. Species in figures 2a-2c.
- III. Species in figures 3a-3d.
- IV. Species in figures 4a-4d.

The species are independent or distinct because species I does not have a feed tube and has a flap; species II has a rotary slide; species III has a feed tube with a connection piece; species IV has a substantially straight feed tube.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Mr. Michael Striker, on 02/13/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant requested a written election requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IH

February 14, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER